IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSE BLANCHARD II,

Civil No. 3:23-cv-159

Plaintiff

(Judge Mariani)

٧.

DIPTI AMIN, CARMEN KATCHKO, LEA MARTIN, KEVIN RANSOM, FILED SCRANTON

MAR 0 3 2023

Defendants

ORDER

Pursuant to *Tabron v. Grace*, 6 F.3d 147, 155 (3d Cir. 1993), the Court should consider the litigant's ability to proceed *pro se* in light of a number of non-exhaustive factors, including: (1) the plaintiff's ability to present his or her case; (2) the complexity of the particular legal issues; (3) the degree to which factual investigation is required and the ability of the plaintiff to pursue such investigation; (4) the amount a case is likely to turn on credibility determinations; (5) whether the case will require testimony from expert witnesses; and (6) the plaintiff's ability to retain and afford counsel on his or her own behalf. *Montgomery v. Pinchak*, 294 F.3d 492, 499 (3d Cir. 2002); *Parham v. Johnson*, 126 F.3d 454, 457-58 (3d Cir. 1997); *Tabron*, 6 F.3d at 155-57.

U.S.C. § 1915, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 4) to appoint counsel is **DENIED**. If further proceedings demonstrate the need for counsel, the matter will be reconsidered either *sua sponte* or upon motion of Plaintiff.

Robert D. Mariani-

United States District Judge